Comparison of Member code of conduct complaints of various London Boroughs and LB Croydon for the period 2023 to 2024

| Name of London Borough | Complainant | Nature of complaint | Outcome | Comments |
|------------------------------|-------------------------|---|---|----------|
| Bromley | Member of the public | Complaint against Councillor regarding a private matter. | Code of conduct not engaged - NFA | |
| Bromley | Member of the public | X2 Complaints against Councillor regarding conduct at Plans committee in relation to planning process | No evidence of a breach of the Code - NFA | |
| Bromley | Member of the public | Complaint against Councillor regarding social media post (Facebook) | Apology offered and accepted | |
| Sutton | Member of the public | Communication | No evidence of a breach of the Code | |
| Sutton | Councillor | Procedure | NFA - did not meet the threshold for investigation | |
| Sutton | Member of the public | Defamation | NFA - did not meet the threshold for investigation | |
| Sutton | Councillor | Communication | NFA - did not meet the threshold for investigation | |
| Sutton | Member of the Public | Conduct in meetings | Apology issued | |
| Sutton | Councillor | Leadership | NFA - did not meet the threshold for investigation | |
| Sutton | Councillor | Leadership | NFA - did not meet the threshold for investigation | |

Comparison of Member code of conduct complaints of various London Boroughs and LB Croydon for the period 2023 to 2024

| Merton | No data available | No data available | Apology issued |
|----------|-------------------------|--|---|
| Merton | | No data available | Apology issued |
| Merton | No data available | No data available | Code of conduct not engaged - NFA |
| Merton | No data available | X3 | NFA – did not meet the threshold for investigation |
| Richmond | Member of the public | Complaint about extension of ULEZ | Not a council responsibility – no breach of the Code of Conduct |
| Richmond | Member of the public | X2 Conduct of Chair of a meeting towards public | NFA - Complaint withdrawn NFA - not |
| | | participants | sufficiently serious to amount to a breach of the Code of Conduct |
| Richmond | Member of the public | Failure to respond to an email from resident | NFA – not sufficiently serious to amount to a breach of the Code of Conduct |
| Lambeth | Member of the public | X5 | Informal resolution |
| Croydon | | X5 | NFA – Complaints were not able to proceed as additional information was requested but not provided. |
| Croydon | Member of the public | Social media post | NFA – complaint withdrawn |
| *Croydon | | X6 | NFA following initial assessment |
| *Croydon | | X2 | Informal resolution |
| Croydon | | X1 | Withdrawn |

Comparison of Member code of conduct complaints of various London Boroughs and LB Croydon for the period 2023 to 2024

| Croydon | X5 | Not proceeded |
|---------|----|-------------------|
| | | with as |
| | | complainants |
| | | failed to provide |
| | | additional |
| | | information |
| | | required in order |
| | | complete the |
| | | assessment |

In terms of the nature of the 8 complaints that were considered at initial assessment stage -

- 4 of the matters arose at or as a result of conduct which occurred at public meetings
- 2 related to correspondence and the manner of that correspondence
- 1 related to social media in the context of the Member being an administrator of a social media group as opposed to a post by a Member on social media