	Pensions Risk Register									
	Risk Scenario			Current Risk Rating			Future controls		Future Risk Rating	
	Risk	Туре	Existing Controls	Impact	Likelihood	Risk factor		Impact	Likelihood	Risk Factor
1	If other scheme employers cease trading or operating for any reason the Scheme Actuary will calculate a cessation valuation of their liabilities. If that employer cannot meet that liability the burden falls on the other employers in the Fund to make good the shortfall.	Governance Risks	As part of the valuation a revised Funding Strategy Statement and separate satellite policies on Contribution Reviews, Academies Funding, Bulk Transfers, Cessation and Prepayments were agreed by the Committee on 14 March 2023. The existing Admissions policy which was agreed by the Committee at their meeting of 3 December 2021 remains in place.	3	3	9	Our Fund actuary will be carrying out a covenant monitoring exercise.	2	3	6

			These policies are designed to mitigate the risk to the Fund. The Fund puts measures in place such as bonds and parent company guarantees or reduces the funding time horizon to reduce the impact resulting from employer failures. As part of the triennial valuation exercise, individual employer profiles were considered and contribution rates were adjusted to plan for a zero value deficit or surplus at cessation as far as possible.							
2	The Fund's invested assets are not sufficient to meet its current or future liabilities. This would lead to pressure to	Funding - Assets and Liabilities Risks	A formal actuarial valuation is carried out every three years. The Funding position of the Fund has improved to 97%.	4	2	8	Officers are looking at ways of monitoring the funding level on a more frequent basis	4	1	4

	increase contribution rates in the future.		The Funding Strategy for the Fund has been agreed on with help of advice from the Fund's Actuary and is based on the liklehood that there is a 75% chance that the funding target will be achieved. The current Strategy was agreed by the Committee in March 2023.				rather than waiting for a full valuation every three years, although this needs to be done efficiently and in a cost effective manner. Officers will work with the Actuary to			
							seek a cost efficient way of estimating changes to the			
3	Liquidity risk - HIgh inflation increases benefits payable at a faster rate and third of the Fund is held in illiquid investments. This means there is a risk that the authority might find itself with insufficient cash to meet short term	Funding - Assets and Liabilities Risks	The Fund's contribution income is currently enough to cover the short term liablities and medium term cashflow projections imply that there is no immediate threat to the Fund's liquidity. This is kept under constant review.	3	1	3	funding level.  No future controls planned	3	1	3

	and medium term liabilities without having to disinvest and thus damage the prospects of generating adequate investment returns.		There are also sufficient income generating assets in the porfolio which can be drawn on to cover any cash requirements. Cash held by the Fund is at 2%, but we are looking to reduce this following implementation of a revised asset allocation following							
4	There is a possible risk of scheduled or admitted bodies not paying over contributions, which involves the administering authority in incurring unnecessary costs.	Funding - Assets and Liabilities Risks	review.  A structured process is in place to monitor receipts of contributions. Contributions and schedules are chased promptly and reconciled. Improved team communications is aiding in this process. which is monitored by the Pensions Board. These measures are	3	3	9	Our administration strategy was agreed by the Committee in their meeting of 20 June 2023. Should engagement with scheme employers to resolve issues not be successful, which is always our	3	2	6

improving outcomes.  In the event of significant issues occurring the authority has retained legal advisors to mitigate this risk, possibly through legal	preferred option, there are opportunities within the strategy to impose financial penalties. This,
channels. There is still one significant ongoing case in terms of contributions due arising from a dispute concerning valuation which we are working with our legal advisors to resolve.	together with our checking and engagement processes, require more time to administer and resources across the governance and accounts teams are impacted. Additional staffing is in the process of being secured.

6	There is a risk that, under any set of circumstances, an asset will will underperform. The Fund has a significant allocation to several single asset categories - for example, equities, fixed interest, property or alternatives - which potentially leaves the Fund exposed to the possibility that a particular class of assets will underperform relative to expectation.	Investment Risks	The investment allocation mix is in a variety of uncorrelated investments designed to give a diverse porfolio, meaning any one investment class should not unduly impact on the performance of the overall portfolio if it underperforms relative to expectation. The revised asset allocation review recommended an allocation to credit which should increase the diversification of the	3	3	9	Implement the revised asset allocation.	3	2	6
			Fund.							
8	General underlying risk of a global collapse in investment markets. The markets have experienced a continuous	Global Macro- economic Risks	The discount rate assumption is reviewed at every valuation to ensure it gives appropriate views on future return expectations.	4	3	12	No future controls planned	4	3	12

	sequence of such events: Latin American sovereign debt; Black Friday crash; the Dot.com bubble; sub-prime, credit crunch, the Coronavirus pandemic and		The Fund is also well-diversified which provides a degree of protection. The diversfied nature of the Fund meant that the Fund was well protected during the Coronavirus							
	Russia's invasion of Ukraine. Other crises are inevitable.		pandemic.							
12	Cyber Security – Risk to systems including by system failure, ineffective business continuity plan and lack of adequate monitoring arrangements for third party suppliers. If adequate protections are not in place to prevent system failure working time could be affected impacting	Operational Risks	Heywoods is a hosted system. They have processes in place for backing up files, storing data safely and preventing system failure. This is included in the contract we have with them. The technical team keep logs of issues and responses to monitor this. We have a business continuity plan in place should issues arise. Key suppliers, Aquila	4	3	12	We are currently working with Aon to strengthen our Cyber Security arrangements. We will be producing and implementing policies and processes focusing on this area.	4	2	8

de pe ince eff co no co sta an wi ad arı su im rev de	orkloads and elivery of key erformance dicators. If an efective business ontinuity plan is of in place and ommunicated to aff the impact of my system failure ill be increased. If dequate monitor trangements for appliers are not inplemented and eviewed service elivery may be diversely affected.	Heywood and Hymans Robertson both have ISO:270001 which is the international standard for information security management systems (ISMS).							
---	--	---	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

13	Cyber Security -	Operational	Mandatory GDPR and	4	3	12	We are	4	2	8
	risk of	Risks	Cyber Security				currently			
	unauthorised		training has been				working with			
	access to personal		provided to all new				Aon to			
	and other data		and existing staff.				strengthen our			
	including by unsafe		There is a remote				Cyber Security			
	home working		working protocol				arrangements.			
	practices, data		available on the staff				We will be			
	access protection		intranet which				producing and			
	and levels and safe		includes guidance on				implementing			
	storage of data. If		working securely, in				policies and			
	safe working		addition to a good				processes			
	practices are not followed devices		practice guide on				focusing on this area.			
	could be lost or		information				tilis area.			
	stolen or data									
	could be viewed or		management. When							
	tampered with. If		working from home							
	data access levels		devices are							
	are not kept up to		encrypted and							
	date and set at a		accessed via a VPN.							
	level appropriate		Bit locker and							
	for the		passwords are							
	performance of the		required to access							
	duties of the role		systems. No physical							
	only, any possible		papers are taken							
	misuse or error will		home and staff are							
	have a more		advised of the need							
	severe effect. If		to keep computers in							
	data held on the		a secure place, never							
	pension system is		to leave devices							
	not backed up		unattended and not							
	there is a risk of		to access systems in							

	data being lost in the event of a system failure.		public locations. Appropriate data access levels to the pensions administration system are assigned by the Technical Support Team on appointment or change of role, according to the requirements of the role.							
14	McCloud Judgement. There is a risk affecting our ability to implement the requirements of the McCloud judgement post resolution. Issues include lack of historic data, appropriate resource, capacity or budget to undertake the work. This could result in legal	Operational Risks	The team are working overtime to try and ensure the data quality is as good as it can be and the Scheme Advisory Board has issued guidlines on how to deal with problems caused by data accuracy. We expect the financial impact on the Fund to be small, the impact on members to be small, but the work for the	3	3	9	No future controls planned	3	3	9

r F i c K I i	breaches reportable to the Pensions Regulator, incorrect pension entitlements being calculated for pensioners and loss of confidence in the service by scheme members and employers.		admin team to be high.							
T   S   F   U   K   A	Cyber Security – The heightened security threat level due to the Russian invasion of Ukraine could potentially result in added risks to the pension administration system.	Operational Risks	In response to the heightened threat, Heywoods have conducted focused threat simulations based upon potentially malicious email traffic, reinforced organisational awareness of the threat landscape and raised vigilance through additional staff training and blocked access/internet traffic from specific countries.	4	3	12	Heywoods will continue to closely monitor the cyber threat landscape, particularly threats connected with events in Ukraine. When necessary, they will take immediate action to mitigate against new threats as they emerge.	4	2	8

17	In February 2022	Investment	It is unlikely that the	4	2	8	If the risk	4	2	8
1,	DLUHC issued the	Risks	Fund will be forced	•	_	0	materialises	-	_	
	Levelling Up white	KISKS					the Fund will			
	paper requiring		into a position which				seek to follow			
	Funds to deploy at		would mean an				the direction			
	least an additional		unacceptable				over a period			
	5% of their capital		imbalance in the				of time in			
	to relevant		asset allocation of				order to			
	investment		the Fund. The Fund is				mange any			
	opportunities in		not currently				imbalance in			
	the UK. The		committing any				the portfolio.			
	additional		further investments				'			
	requirement could		to its illiquid							
	be problematic for		portfolio.							
	funds such as									
	Croydon which									
	already allocate a									
	significant									
	proportion of their									
	capital to the UK in									
	illiquid assets.									
18	Changes in	Operational	Key Performance	4	3	12	Continue	4	2	8
	legislation affect	Risks	Monitoring Report				detailed			
	the level of		completed each				planning in			
	performance of the		month against legal				respect of			
	Pensions Admin		deadlines and team				legislative			
	Team. Scheme		targets reported to				changes.			
	members		Pensions Committee				Development			
	experiencing		and Board each				of IT and			
	delays in receipt of		meeting. Maintaining				automation.			
	their pension		awareness of latest				Increase to			
	entitlement.		legislative				Employer			
	Statutory deadlines		iegisiative				engagement.			

	are not met leading to breaches of legislation and pension regulator requirements. Scheme member dissatisfaction. Reputational damage. Financial penalties. Legal action / challenge.		requirements. Robust checking procedures at all stages. Staff knowledge - Recruitment of staff of suitable experience Continuous training of existing staff. Currently fully resourced administrative function.							
19	System malfunction or interruption of our banking systems. The FCA disclosed that in the last 9 months of 2018 there were more than 300 IT failures impacting on bank customers ability to access their funds. The 6 largest banks on average experience one IT failure every 2 weeks. The risk is that one of these	Operational Risks	Actively review/assure in relation to bank controls. Automatic compensation paid for major interruptions	4	3	12	No future controls planned	4	3	12

22	Lack of Fund	Governance	There is an existing	4	3	12	A new Fund	4	2	8
	specific Conflicts of	Risks	Board Conflicts of				specific			
	interest Policy –		Interest Policy in				Conflicts of			
	This could lead to a		place and elected				Interest Policy			
	lack of clarity		members are				has been			
	around when it is		required to disclose				drafted and			
	necessary for		interests in their				has had legal			
	Committee and		capacity as				input on			
	Board members to		Councillors. A				behalf of the			
	declare / disclose		standing agenda item				Monitoring			
	interests that could		is included in every				Officer. A			
	impact on		1				report 			
	decisions they are		meeting asking if any				recommending			
	taking. When		member has an				members to			
	acting in their		interest that they				adopt the			
	capacity as		need to disclose. A				policy was			
	Committee members their		member of				taken to the June 2023			
			Democratic Services							
	priority should be the interests of the		attends every				committee			
	Fund. Without		meeting and can				meeting however the			
	appropriate		provide advice on				committee			
	scrutiny and		whether a disclosure				requested			
	transparency, the		is needed. The Board				some			
	interests of the		Chair regularly				amendments.			
	Fund could be		attends Committee				A revised			
	compromised.		meetings and will				version will be			
	comproniiscu.		highlight potential				presented to			
			items where a				the next			
			disclosure may be				committee			
			appropriate.				meeting in			
			Democratic Services				September			
			Democratic services				2023. This will			

			keep a log of Member Interests.				provide greater clarity on disclosable items and potential areas where a conflict might arise.			
23	Climate Change	Investment	When setting the funding strategy the Fund included climate scenario stress testing in the contribution modelling exercise for the Council at the 2022 valuation. The results provided some assurance that the funding strategy is resilient to climate risks.	3	5	15	The investment strategy is currently being reviewed with the particular focus on climate change risks and is setting a framework to firstly establish the Fund's current carbon footprint and secondly to enable to future reporting and monitoring of the Fund's exposure to	1	5	5

			climate change risks. A revised		
			risks. A revised		
			strategy will		
			strategy will be set by		
			December		
			2023.		

Below 10 is considered a Green Risk.

A score between 10 and 19 is an Amber Risk.

A score of 20 or above is a Red Risk.

16 No of Risks on Register

7 No of Current Green Risks

9 No of Current Amber Risks

No of Current Red Risks

14 No of Future Green Risks

2 No of Future Amber risks

No Future Red Risks

Risk Matrix										
			IMPACT							
			1	2	3	4	5			
			Insignificant	Minor	Moderate	Major	Catastrophic			
	5	Almost Certain	5	10	15	20	25			
	4	Likely	4	8	12	16	20			
LIKELIHOOD	3	Possible	3	6	9	12	15			
	2	Unlikely	2	4	6	8	10			
	1	Rare	1	2	3	4	5			

Impact is measured on a scale of 1 to 5.

A score of 1 suggests that the potential impact would be insignificant and a score of 5 would be catastrophic.

Likelihood is also measured on a scale of 1 to 5.

In this instance a score of 1 suggests that the occurrence will be rare and score of 5 would be almost certain to happen.