

Extract from “Good Governance: Phase 3 Report to SAB” February 2021

Conflicts of Interest

B.1 Each fund must produce and publish a conflicts of interest policy which includes details of how actual, potential and perceived conflicts are addressed within the governance of the fund, including reference to key conflicts identified in the Guidance.

One of the key objectives of the Good Governance Review was to consider how potential conflicts of interest manifest themselves within current LGPS set up and to suggest how those potential conflicts can be managed to ensure that they do not become actual conflicts. In doing so, the SAB was of the view that the democratically accountable nature of the LGPS be maintained.

Since almost all LGPS funds are rooted in local authority law and practice, those elected members who serving on pension committees are subject to local authority member codes of conduct. These will require members to register existing conflicts and to recognise when conflicts arise during the course of their duties and how to deal with them. Elected members must also comply with the Seven Principles of Public Life (often referred to as the Nolan Principles). Non-elected members sitting on committees and local pension boards should be subject to the same codes and principles.

There are, however, specific conflicts that can arise as a result of managing a pension fund within the local authority environment. The intention of this recommendation is that all administering authorities publish a specific LGPS conflicts of interest policy. This should include information on how it identifies, monitors and manages conflicts, including areas of potential conflict that are specific to the LGPS and will be listed in The Guidance. The expectation is that the areas covered will include:

- Any commercial relationships between the administering authority or host authority and other employers in the fund/or other parties which may impact decisions made in the best interests of the fund. These may include shared service arrangements which impact the fund operations directly but will also include outsourcing relationship and companies related to or wholly owned by the Council, which do not relate to pension fund operations;
- Contribution setting for the administering and other employers;
- Cross charging for services or shared resourcing between the administering authority and the fund and ensuring the service quality is appropriate for the fund;
- Dual role of the administering authority as an owner and client of a pool;

- Investment decisions about local infrastructure; and
- How the pension fund appropriately responds to Council decisions or policies on global issues such as climate change.
- Any other roles within the Council being carried out by committee members or officers which may result in a conflict either in the time available to dedicate to the fund or in decision making or oversight. For example, some roles on other finance committees, audit or health committees or cabinet should be disclosed.

Each administering authority's policy should address:

- How potential conflicts of interest are identified and managed;
- How officers, employer and scheme member representatives, elected members, members of the local pension board and advisers and contractors understand their responsibilities in respect of ensuring that conflicts of interest are properly managed;
- Systems, controls and processes, including maintaining records, for managing and mitigating potential conflicts of interest effectively such that they never become actual conflicts;
- How the effectiveness of its conflict of interest policy is reviewed and updated as required;
- How a culture which supports transparency and the management and mitigation of conflicts of interest is embedded; and
- How the specific conflicts that arise from its dual role as both an employer participating in the Fund and the administering authority responsible for delivering the LGPS for that fund are managed.

In putting together such a policy it is recognised that membership of the LGPS is not, in and of itself, a conflict of interest.

The Guidance should require each fund to make public its conflicts of interest policy.