

# LONDON BOROUGH OF CROYDON

<b>REPORT:</b>	<b>Children &amp; Young People Sub-Committee</b>
<b>DATE</b>	<b>17 January 2023</b>
<b>REPORT TITLE:</b>	<b>Budget Scrutiny Challenge</b>
<b>LEAD OFFICER:</b>	<b>Debbie Jones - Corporate Director, Children, Young People and Education</b>
<b>PERSON LEADING AT SCRUTINY COMMITTEE MEETING:</b>	<b>Debbie Jones - Corporate Director, Children, Young People and Education</b>  <b>Vicki Wiltshire - Head of Service, Access Support and Intervention</b>  <b>Rodica Cobarzan- Head of Service, Social Work with Families and Children with Disabilities</b>
<b>LEAD MEMBER:</b>	<b>Councillor Maria Gatland Cabinet Member for Children and Young People</b>
<b>ORIGIN OF ITEM:</b>	This item was included on the Children & Young People Sub-Committee Scrutiny Sub-Committee Work Programme for 2022/23.
<b>BRIEF FOR THE COMMITTEE:</b>	<p>The Children &amp; Young People Sub-Committee is asked to review the information provided on the identified budget proposals and reach a conclusion on the following:-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Are the savings deliverable, sustainable and not an unacceptable risk?</li> <li>2. Is the impact on service users and the wider community understood?</li> <li>3. Have all reasonable alternative options been explored and do no better options exist?</li> </ol>
<b>PUBLIC/EXEMPT:</b>	Public

## 1 BUDGET SCRUTINY CHALLENGE

- 1.1** The Children & Young People Sub-Committee Scrutiny Sub-Committee has asked to review 2023/24 budget proposals for three high-risk areas that fall under the Children and Young People directorate.
- 1.2** The Sub-Committee has identified the following three areas that are covered in the main report:

- The review of care packages for children with disabilities aged 0-17
- The impact of the reduction in spend on the adolescent service
- Impact of the review of the Front Door and practices

**1.3** Scrutiny Chairs have agreed that budget scrutiny will look to satisfy the three following questions:

- Are the savings deliverable, sustainable and not an unacceptable risk?
- Is the impact on service users and the wider community understood?
- Have all reasonable alternative options been explored and do no better options exist?

## **2 APPENDICES**

**2.1** Appendix 1: Scrutiny Budget Challenge Presentation

## **3 BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS**

**3.1** None