

SCRUTINY RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSIONS	DEPARTMENT AND CABINET MEMBER RESPONDING	ACCEPT/ REJECT RECOMMENDATIONS (inc. reasons for rejection)	IDENTIFIED OFFICER	ANY FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS	TIMETABLE FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF RECOMMENDATIONS IF ACCEPTED (ie Action Plan)	DATE OF SCRUTINY MEETING TO REPORT BACK
<b>Report: Early Help, Social Care &amp; Education Dashboards</b> (Considered by Children & Young People Sub-Committee on 20 April 2021)							
<p>1.a That future dashboards contain information that accurately reflects the landscape for SEN children and the Chair to reach an agreement with the Interim Director of Education of the level of information to be shared.</p> <p>1.b Including data on 19-21 year old NEETs to enable comparison against national benchmarks</p>	<p>The Education dashboard was lacking information on SEN reviews and it was important that the dashboard be inclusive of all the children in Croydon that we serve.</p>	<p>Councillor Flemming</p> <p>Children, Families &amp; Education</p>	<p>Accepted.</p> <p>We have already produced a Data Dashboard with the SEND information and will add the agreed information to the overall Education Dashboard.</p>	<p>Shelley Davies</p>	<p>None.</p>	<p>Data included for the next Scrutiny meeting.</p>	<p>14 September 2021</p>
<b>Report: Service Impact &amp; Budget Update</b> (Considered by Children & Young People Sub-Committee on 20 April 2021)							
<p>1. The Draft Children, Families and Education Delivery Plan 2021-24 be reviewed to ensure appropriate acknowledgement and inclusion of Scrutiny in its governance and assurance mechanisms.</p>	<p>It was very concerning that the role of Scrutiny was not included in the assurance process of the draft Children Families and Education Improvement Plan 2021-24.</p> <p>It was disappointing that the Children's Improvement Board work programme had been developed without consultation with the Sub-Committee or GPAC on its own work programme in order to avoid duplication.</p>	<p>Councillor Flemming</p> <p>Children, Families &amp; Education</p>	<p>Accepted.</p> <p>Officers have met with the Chair of the CYP Sub-Committee to discuss how the areas of focus and workplans for the Children's Continuous Improvement Board and the sub-committee can be better coordinated.</p>	<p>Roisin Madden</p>	<p>None.</p>	<p>Better aligned workplans from September 2021.</p>	<p>14 September 2021</p>

SCRUTINY RECOMMENDATION	CONCLUSIONS	DEPARTMENT AND CABINET MEMBER RESPONDING	ACCEPT/ REJECT RECOMMENDATIONS (inc. reasons for rejection)	IDENTIFIED OFFICER	ANY FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS	TIMETABLE FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF RECOMMENDATIONS IF ACCEPTED (ie Action Plan)	DATE OF SCRUTINY MEETING TO REPORT BACK
2. The Plan to be circulated to all Councillors with a briefing note that explains the challenges and for all other departments to follow this lead when writing the plan for their service.	The Plan was well written and robust but some of the language used was ambiguous. It was important that officers be mindful of the language used which could lead to unintended interpretation.	Councillor Flemming  Children, Families & Education	Accepted.  The comment is noted for future reports to the sub-committee.	Roisin Madden	None.	June 2021.	14 September 2021